

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,103	11/25/2003	Haruhisa lida	501.43236X00	4487	
20457	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
	I, TERRY, STOUT &	WANG, GEORGE Y			
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	, VA 22209-3873		2871		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				n'		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/720,103	IIDA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		George Y. Wang	2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Au</u>	<u>ıgust 2005</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the cart or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,103 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) the specifics of the LCD device where the formation of the reflection films is not provided in the vicinities of projecting portions which are formed in the pixel regions comprising a first embodiment corresponding to claims 1, 4, 7, and 10-11;
- (2) the specifics of the LCD device where the formation of the reflection films is not provided in the peripheries of spacers which are formed in the pixel regions comprising a second embodiment corresponding to claims 2, 4-6, 8, and 10-13;
- (3) the specifics of the LCD device where the formation of the reflection films is obviated in the peripheries of spacers which are formed in the pixel regions and in portions of the pixel regions except for portions which face a directing direction of rubbing on an orientation film which is brought into contact with the liquid crystal comprising a third embodiment corresponding to claims 3-6, 8, and 10-13;
- (4) the specifics of the LCD device comprising columnar spacers formed be selectively etching a material layer comprising a fourth embodiment corresponding to claim 9.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,103

Art Unit: 2871

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,103 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-2304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,103 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

AU 2871

October 14, 2005